Fourth Presbyterian Church

ABRAM MILLER LONG
MINISTER

47TH STREET AND KINGSESSING AVENUE

PHILADELPHIA

February 13, 1930

The Presbyterian,
1217 Market Street,
Philadelphia, Pa.

Dear Sirs:

May I express to you my profound appreciation of the change of your Editorial policy. I have been a subscriber to The Presbyterian ever since my entrance into the ministry, and I have always counted it a joy to receive the magazine. The bitter and polemic nature of the paper during recent times, however, had almost alienated my affection. There is great need for the kind of paper that you now propose to edit, one that will dwell with positiveness on the great verities of our faith. I congratulate you upon the new nature of THE PRESBYTERIAN, and I shall look for it from now in with increased joy.

Very sincerely yours,

A.M.L.-J.

Abram M. Long

P.S. I do not wish further use to be made of this letter.

REV. A. M. LAMB
"The Manse"
CHESWICK, PENNSYLVANIA

March 5th 1930.

My dear Dr. Robinson:-

Not hearing from you since the note came, to which I at once responded with a supply of Timely Topics, I have been embarrassed to know what further you wish, so as to help you in the places you require my assistance.

I know that many problems confront you in this interesting but new life; so that it may not be easy for you to write definitely as to your hopes and plans. I trust the enclosed are what you wish.

Sincerely yours.

A. Mackenzie Lamb

FIRST PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH
GREENFIELD, OHIO

March 14, 1930

Rev. W. C. Robinson, D. D. Editor
The Presbyterian
1277 Market St.
Philadelphia, Pa.

Dear Dr. Robinson:

I want to write you this morning to express my pleasure in your acceptance of the editorship of The Presbyterian and to congradulate The Presbyterian and its constituency that you are to guide the policies of the paper hereafter.

With many others I was greatly distressed by the removal of Dr. Craig, but I am confident that with your accession to the responsibility the paper now enters a new era of service to the church. I assure you that I wish you well in this new undertaking and believe that your labors will be greatly appreciated. I am sure you are well fitted for the undertaking.

I have been preparing a series of papers on the general subject of Christian Evidences which I will submit to you from time to time and if acceptable for publication will be glad to have you use them in The Presbyterian.

With kindest personal regards, I am,

Willis B. Kilpatrick

Cordially and very sincerely yours,

K:Y

THE MANSE
First Presbyterian Church
THIRTY ONE EIGHTH AVE.
HADDON HEIGHTS, N. J.

April 13 1930

Dear Friend Robinson;

Among your "Additions" next issue you might note that Haddon Heights Presbyterian Church added 17 new members today-

Will hope to see you some day in the City to see how young you are keeping- possibly you will be at the Social Union dinner tomorrow-- if not then at least you will be at Princeton at the Commencement May 6th-- if " The Presbyterian" tells the truth.

Prof. Machen would say it lies I suppose.

Why didnt your Princeton Directors arrange to hold Commencement on a different date from that of Westminster and invite the Westminster crowd to come to Princeton for the day ?

Bright idea.

Sincerely yours; James R. Kerr

Jimmie Kerr

F. W. MEYER
CLERK OF SESSION

W. R. GEORGE
SEC'Y. BOARD OF TRUSTEES

First Presbyterian Church

JOSEPH G. KANE, MINISTER

Nanticoke, Pa. April 16, 1930.

Rev. W. C. Robinson, D.D.,
Editor, The Presbyterian,
Philadelphia, Pa.

Dear Dr. Robinson:-

Thank you for your kind letter of recent date. I am glad you thought there was merit in the article I sent "The Grace we Neglect" and while it is late so far as the Every Member Canvass is concerned, the matter of giving is always timely and if you can find a place for it, it might do some good even yet. I have a feeling that the next revival that will be sent down upon the Church will be a revival of the recognition of God as the Possessor of all we have. We have never learned yet how to give, and until we do, the progress of the Gospel will be seriously hampered.

With good wishes to you for God's blessing upon your labors in so important a place, I am,

Sincerely yours,

Jos. G. Kane

April 12, 1930.

Rev. J. G. Kane,
Nanticoke, Pa.

Dear Mr. Kane:-

I have your article on the Neglected Grace and I thought it very excellent. I have been trying to find space for it but we are more than crowded and as you suggest I am new to the business. It is now probably too old to have an immediate effect. If you desire, we will return it to you hoping to receive a similar article next year or if you prefer we will retain it here and print it as soon as we may. The difficulty I find in this new place is the process of elimination. I thank you very much. I am to be out of the office for two weeks on necessary business and will await your desire.

Yours very sincerely,

Third Presbyterian Church.
Pittsburgh, Pa.

April 10, 1930.

The Rev, W. Courtland Robinson, D.D.,
Philadelphia, Pa.

My dear Dr. Robinson,

I am writing you at the suggestion of Dr. McEwan, who came to me the other day at a meeting of The Pres. of Pittsburgh and asked me to write an account of The Meeting of The Pres. and to submit it to you for publication in The Presbyterian.

I send you this account of The Meeting and you may do as you think best. I do not know how much you want to publish, but you can condense and publish what you desire, but this is an account of The Meeting without too many details. If I had space I would like to say something about Dr. McEwan's trip to California, but fear it may be too long, as I do not know how much space you wish to give.

Then, The Annual Meeting of The Womens Missionary Societies was held here in The Third Church the last of March, but it may be a little too late for that, then it would make this much longer.

Dr. McEwan's trip can easily wait till next week, and it might not be too late for The Report of The Meeting of The Missionary Societies. Will be very glad if you will advise me and tell me how much space to use.

I want to express my appreciation of your work in connection with The Presbyterian, and enjoy reading it very much, as I like your position in Theology.

I have been with Dr. McEwan here for 13 years, and

Third Presbyterian Church.
Pittsburgh, Pa.

he is a fine man. There are none better, and it has been a pleasure to have been connected so closely to him these years. I am very sorry to see him retire from the Pastorate of The Third Church as he is a great man in a great church.

I wish you the best of success in your new field of labor and know that you will give us a good Presbyterian. Long live The Presbyterian!

I will look forward with pleasure fot the opportunity of meeting you personally some time . May God richly bless you and your labors. I am,

Very sincerely yours,

G. W. Kaufman

George Willis Kaufman.

5430 Walnut Street.

F. W. MEYER
CLERK OF SESSION

W. R. GEORGE
SEC'Y. BOARD OF TRUSTEES

First Presbyterian Church

JOSEPH G. KANE, MINISTER

Nanticoke, Pa. April 9, 1930.

Rev.W. C. Robinson, D.D.,
Editor, The Presbyterian
Philadelphia, Pa.

Dear Dr. Robinson:-

About 6 weeks ago, I sent an article on Stewardship, "The Grace we Neglect" being the title, with the request that, if it had merit, it be published during the month of March sometime for the good that might be accomplished in the interests of Every Member Canvasses. To date I have heard nothing of it and would appreciate hearing from you with reference to it. I realize you have been busy adjusting yourself. I have just read your last editorial and like your style of writing, as well as subject matter.

You might note in your "Condensed Record" the following:
Accessions - Pennsylvania--Nanticoke, First, J.G.Kane,32.

Thanking you, I am,

Cordially yours,

Jas. G. Kane

Dear Robinson:

I don't remember reading anything in The Presbyterian about ministers being offering sermon outlines of 600 to 800 words, but "to only one minister in each County", nor do I feel sure that you care to refer to the matter in the columns of The Presbyterian.

But, it seems to me there should be some exposure of the sale, if it is made, possibly rather a disclosure of the effort to tempt ministers to palm off what are really sermons prepared by others, as productions of their own composition.

About a year ago I received this offer, which I rejected as an invitation to deception, dishonesty and so wrote the publishers. Now it comes again. Evidently they have been unable to secure some one to risk detection or even to patronize them though with little likelihood of being discovered.

Possibly I am singled out again because I am listed in the General Assembly Minutes as without a charge and might be induced to use the material offered and so secure a call.

I can not imagine a Christian minister using such matter, but why are the advertisements printed and circulated in the mail, if there is no sale?

Yours sincerely

Alford Kelley.

219 W. Minor St.
West Chester, Pa.
April 2, 1930.

April 9, 1930.

Rev. Leander S. Keyser, D.D.,
1126 N. Fountain Avenue,
Springfield, Ohio.

Dear Dr. Keyser:-

Your letter to THE PRESBYTERIAN is just in my hands. I have watched and admired your position and influence so long that I am sorry to have you doubtful concerning mine. I think I may write you rather frankly.

I have been in the presbyterian controversy during the last years and I stand emphatically on the conservative side but I saw the conservatives lose power constantly from 1924 until now. A little wisdom would have retained many who are conservative in thought but some conservatives became very vehement and I think too exacting. The matter which led to the retirement of Dr. Craig was largely personal between him and Dr. McEwan. With that change I had nothing to do. My opinion now is that conservatives have lost control largely through divisions in their own ranks and so much as in me lies I hope to heal those divisions. Our contest has been too personal oftentimes and there are many who have been almost driven away from us. I will not be personal and I will not be more polemic than seems to be imperative but I think you will find as I become more accustomed to this position that my statement of sound doctrine will be clear and steadfast. I am as conservative as you, though perhaps by my temperament I am not so strong in some of my utterances. I hope you will not be disappointed now that you have renewed your subscription. I have had many letters from conservatives commending me on what has been written to this date.

Very sincerely yours,

April 8, 1930.

The Presbyterian,

Philadelphia, Pa.

Dear Sirs:

Your letter of April 2nd, and also the circular letter of Mr. Harbison of the same date, have been received and have been read carefully. I am glad for your assurances that you are conservative and that you intend to remain so.

However, my fear has been, and I confess still is, not that you will go over to Modernism or that you will proclaim anything heretical in your columns, but that you will simply be pacific; that you will take no stalwart position against error; that you will be so mild mannered that the Modernists will have no fear of you. The editorials of the new editor have thus far been precisely of that character.

I do not think that "The Presbyterian" has been too controversial during the years that I have taken it. It has contained many helpful articles and departments that have been purely constructive and without polemics. But it has also spoken out clearly and bravely against error, and has been so specific that no one has needed to have any doubt as to its position. I think Mr. Harbison has greatly overstated the case when he implies that the paper in the past has been "entirely given over to the present prevailing controversy." The question now is, Will Dr. Robinson ever directly and unambiguously rebuke the theological errors of the day? There is not much evidence of it thus far.

However, I do see--and am glad to acknowledge it--a bit of the former stalwartness of the paper in its issue of April 3rd on pages 27 and 30, where the liberalistic views of Cadman and Bethune-Baker are critcised.

I hope you are right in your estimate of the evangelical position of Princeton Theological Seminary. There certainly are men on that faculty who have heretofore been known as conservative. But since the new regime has gone into effect, where has one of them published an article against

the bold and bald Modernism of the day?

On the other hand, have the Modernists been so mute and complaisant? Look at book affter book that has come from the press of the most dangerous character. Note much of the Sunday School literature of the day. See the articles in "The Christian Century" and many other so-called religious journals. To my mind, it is a mystery how any one with real evangelical earnestness can be silent at a time like this.

Now, please understand me. I would ~~want~~ not want "The Presbyterian" to be filled up week by week with controversy. Nobody would want that. But I do think that each number should contain one or two rigging editorials and articles upholding firmly the cardinal doctrines of Christianity over against the sapping work of the prevailing liberalism.

Indulging the hope from what has been said in your letters that "The Presbyterian" will be journal that will fearlessly uphold and defend the "faith once for all delivered," I enclose $2.00 to renew my subscription, which expired April 1, 1930.

Sincerely yours,

Leander S. Keyser.

THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY
THE CHURCH OF CHRIST IN CHINA
23 YUEN MING YUEN ROAD, SHANGHAI
會總國全會教督基華中
號九〇四號三廿路園明圓海上

THE REV. C. Y. CHENG, D.D.
MODERATOR

THE REV. A. R. KEPLER, D.D.
GENERAL SECRETARY

T. C. FAN, PH.D.
ASSOCIATE GENERAL SECRETARY

T. Y. CHANG, ESQ.
HON. TREASURER

TELEGRAMS:—
"CHURCHINCH"
SHANGHAI

CODES:—
MISSIONS, C.I.M., A.B.C.
BENTLEYS, WESTERN UNION

TELEPHONE 68735

March 12, 1930.

Dr. W. Courtland Robinson, Editor,
The Presbyterian,
1217 Market St., Philadelphia, Pa., U.S.A.

Dear Dr. Robinston:

I have been reading "The Presbyterian" for a number of years quite religiously, though, I must confess, not very sympathetically. I believe that there is a distinct place within our Church for a magazine which constructively, winsomely and in the Spirit of Christ, stresses the conservative interpretation of the Christian message and our Christian task.

"The Presbyterian" is to be congratulated in securing you to wield the editor's pen and blue pencil. I am sure that you are just the man to put persuasively in behalf of the more conservative group the spiritual and evangelical message which our Church so greatly needs.

Under separate cover I am sending you one of my recent Occasional Letters. I will be glad to put your name on my mailing list, for you may be interested in what our united Church in China is seeking to achieve and is actually accomplishing, even though our attempted solution of the great question of church unity may not wholly meet with your approval.

With very warmest regards, I remain,

Most cordially yours,

A. R. Kepler

ARK:C

April 8, 1930.

Rev. A. R. Kepler,
23 Yuen Ming,
Yuen Road,
Shanghai, China.

Dear Dr. Kepler:-

Thank you so much for your letter. It is good to hear from you on any subject. I have had the pleasure of an invitation from the Simons for a dinner but as yet have been unable to accept it. This is [illegible] I hope that I will fill it to the satisfaction of those who employ me and also to the great body of thoughtful conservative readers. I appreciate your letter very much.

Yours very sincerely,

March 5, 1930.

Mr. H. H. Kennedy,
320 Merchants Exchange Bldg.,
St. Louis, Mo.

Dear Mr. Kennedy:-

Your letter dated February 14th to the publishers of THE PRESBYTERIAN has just come to my hand. I am moved to write you in the hope that we may answer some questions in your mind.

In the first place, I had absolutely nothing to do directly or indirectly with the resignation of Dr. Craig nor my election as his successor. Dr. Craig wrote me a very kind and cordial letter concerning our personal relations.

Furthermore, I was a director of the old Princeton Seminary until removed by the General Assembly last May. I fought that movement of making a new single board with all the power I had. I issued a pamphlet which was widely circulated in the Church. However, the General Assembly was determined and our efforts went for nothing. A number of strong conservative men were retained on the new board. Dr. Machen and two of his colleagues believed it right and best to withdraw from Princeton and to start Westminster Seminary. With that movement I had much sympathy.

Dr. Machen requested very vehemently that his name be taken from the pages of THE PRESBYTERIAN and has been strong in his condemnation of the change. That is a matter of policy and I do not question his right but I do protest any implication that I do not stand for the old faith as much as he. I am a conservative of the strictest order. I hope that conservative influence can be so used in Princeton that the $7,000,000 of assets will not be diverted into liberal channels. I have the kindest feeling for Westminster Seminary and will go as far as they will let me in promoting their interests. Just give me a six months' trial and if you find that I am liberal or middle-of-the-road or any other such thing you may take me to task. In the meantime, I hope you can continue your subscription and that of your mother to this paper which is as sound and orthodox as ever it was. Dr. Craig's trouble was purely a personal matter though he claimed that it was due to his policy. It is my hope that I may be able under God to unite the conservative

element of the Church now seemingly so hopelessly divided. Our divisions have caused defeat after defeat in the General Assembly and we have lost the stand that we once had. I may fail in my earnest desire and when convinced of my failure my resignation will be promptly in the hands of the Publishing Company. Dr. McEwan, Messrs. Harbison, Monro, Crutchfield, Crowell and Dr. Hodge are as intensely conservative as you or I. As to method and detail there have been some differences with others but on the great questions we are all true. Westminster Seminary withdrew their advertisement to our great regret. You look over the paper as it comes week after week and form your own opinion.

Very sincerely yours,

SAN FRANCISCO
240 FRONT ST.
SEATTLE
201 CENTRAL BLDG.
LOS ANGELES
631 CENTRAL BLDG.
PORTLAND
911 BOARD OF TRADE BLDG.

GULF PACIFIC LINE
SWAYNE & HOYT INC., MANAGING AGENTS
H. H. KENNEDY
ASST. TRAFFIC MGR.
320 MERCHANTS EXCH. BLDG.

CHICAGO
209 SO. LA SALLE ST.
NEW ORLEANS
424 WHITNEY BLDG.
MOBILE
207 1ST NATL. BANK BLDG.
HOUSTON
406 COTTON EXCH. BLDG.

RICHARD L. DUGGAN
GENERAL AGENT

ST. LOUIS, MO.

Feb.14th 1930.

Publishers,
"The Presbyterian",
Philadelphia,Pa.

Gentlemen:--

It was with considerable surprise,and very much regret, that I read in a recent issue of your periodical (to which my mother, Mrs.M.Kennedy,and I,subscribe) that the resignation of Dr.Craig as Editor had been demanded, apparently for the primary reason that your Board does not stand with him on - nor sympathize with his views on - the Westminster Seminary matter.

Now, we dont personally know Dr.Craig; have never met him nor seen him nor heard him, and probably never shall have that privilege - but we have been tremendously impressed by,and delighted with, his fearless stand for the old Faith, and his determined challenge to the encroachment of doubt,unbelief,and Modernism in the Presbyterian Church. He is a good two-fisted fighter for the Lord; more power to him - and I for one wish there were more like him represented in the columns of our church publications.

Furthermore,like Dr.Craig,we are intensely interested in the formation and growth of Westminster Seminary,and whole-heartedly in sympathy with it. We have been doubly pleased with your paper because it seemed to reflect the same view - and it is a very great disappointment to observe that you apparently are getting "cold feet" on the subject. It passes my understanding why "The Presbyterian", if it stands for the things I have understood it did stand for, would criticise or oust any man because he openly and fearlessly encouraged and aided the cause of Westminster Seminary.

There is something evidently badly wrong here somewhere; I dont know whats at the bottom of it but I propose to find out before continuing my subscription to "The Presbyterian". Our subscription renewal was due January 1st,and ordinarily I would have renewed it immediately having in the past been greatly pleased with the publication. However I dont want the paper if Dr.Craig's dismissal implies a lack of sympathy and support for Westminster Seminary. I dont want it if the paper is passing into the hands of the crowd who put over that deplorable Princeton affair last year,which as I understand it forced the formation of Westminster so that there might be one place left,anyway,where the teaching of the old faith and the pure old Gospel might be preserved.

And we wouldnt under any circumstances permit it to come into our home if it is going to take on a "liberal" air (which inevitably leads ultimately to outright Modernism) or if it is going to adopt a "middle-of-the-road" policy without courage or convictions. The Lord deliver me from a man,or a publication, thatlcarries water on both shoulders - or attempts to; and wont come out with a definite courageous stand for anything.

Personally,my suspicions as to "The Presbyterian's" policy and practice under the changed management arent at all allayed by the fact that the last issue of your paper carries a column of Princeton Seminary news,and none,that I see,of Westminster; that the usual Westminster Seminary advertisement does not appear in the issue; and that Dr.Machen's name no longer appears on the Board or the staff of the publication. What is the explanation of these rather peculiar developments since the ousting of Dr.Craig?

I do not of course wish to misjudge or prejudge your publication and its action in this matter; and if there is any information or comment in the premises that you care to offer I shall be pleased to receive it. However,unless these things are satisfactorily explained, in a clearer light than I see them now, I shall ask you to send me a bill for such issues as I have received since January 1st, and take my mother's name off the list and discontinue the paper. Neither my mother nor myself have the slightest disposition to support any paper which is - or is becoming - weak on its orthodoxy; and frankly I do not see what else could be implied by the ousting of such a man as Dr.Craig, and by the apparent withdrawal of support for Westminster Seminary.

Permit me to add that I am not alone,by any means, in being concerned over this situation. Three of my friends, who presently are subscribers, I find on discussion feel precisely the same way,and have in mind to deal similarly with the subscription proposition when theirs expires.

Our subscription is entered in the name of Mrs. Alice Kennedy,5829 Bartmer Ave.,-my mother. However I pay for it, and she feels quite as I do on the phases of the matter dealt with herein.

Yours truly,

H.H.Kennedy

HK

H.H.Kennedy.

Copies to-
Westminster Seminary,Phila.
Dr.Craig.

Davidson College
Davidson, N. C.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

April 17, 1930.

Rev. W. Courtland Robinson, D.D.,
1217 Market Street,
Philadelphia, Penn.

My dear Dr. Robinson:-

Dr. M. R. Turnbull, of Richmond, informs me that he has written you in reference to the Sunday School lessons which he prepares from week to week. Dr. Turnbull is Professor of Bible in the Presbyterian Assembly's Training School at Richmond. I was President of that School for a number of years and know him and his work intimately. He is one of the best Bible teachers and students that I ever knew. He has the happy faculty of knowing how to get other people to study the Bible. Instead of doing all the work himself, he encourages the student to do all the work for himself or herself. That is his method in the class-room, and that is his method in his Sunday School lessons. I hear teachers in all parts of our Church speak in the highest terms of the Sunday School lessons which Dr. Turnbull now prepares for the Christian Observer. I believe that your readers would find them exceedingly helpful. Of course, by examining back numbers of the Christian Observer you can see for yourself whether you believe his type of work will suit your readers. At any rate, I hope that you can give this matter serious consideration, as Dr. Turnbull does such fine work.

With high esteem, I am

Very cordially yours,

Walter L. Lingle

WLL/S

Baltimore, Md.,
Apr 16 1930

The Editor,
The Presbyterian, Phila.,
Dear Sir:

I would like to give a brief analysis of your Editorial in the Feb. 27th issue, headed "Things That Cannot Be Shaken", on page 4.

You mention earthquakes (social, political etc), and state that the evils enumerated will be destroyed off the face of the earth by a succession of upheavals; God will shake Russia until there shall come peace and order. You also state that Christ must reign; that there must be more divine upheavals until there shall come peace and order.

But, Mr Editor, I do not read of peace and order coming in this way --- not in the Bible. Before the Flood, God allowed the people to go on downward in rottenness, giving them up to their vile ways; He then did not bring peace and order among them. He simply wiped them off the face of the earth and started over again.

Permit me to say that "peace and order" can never come upon the earth so long as SIN reigns. It is Sin that begets all jealousies and quarrels; they then lead to war. As I study the nations of the earth, I see them weltering in sin. In every nook and corner of this globe, we see SIN. The people of the earth are not turning <u>to</u> Jesus, but <u>away</u> from Him! They are turning away from Him to a greater extent in our country than in any other. Here, millions have had the light. They are forsaking the light, and rushing headlong into infidelity.

There is just <u>one</u> way in which PEACE is coming to this old earth --- and that one way is at the <u>return</u> of Jesus, personally, bodily -- to the earth! I am now making a dogmatic statement. But I will never alter that statement one iota. The Bible plainly declares this -- and that the sign of the Son of Man coming, will be immediately after the Tribulation. This Tribulation has never yet happened. The sufferings during the fall of Jerusalem, in A.D. 70, were as nothing when compared to what is coming upon the earth during the battle of Armageddon and the Tribulation.

The nations of the earth are now preparing for that awful battle. They have proved conclusively that they are impotent to make

Peace! The present treaty, just completed in London, has the usual "joker". It is the "escalator" clause, which will allow the nations who are signatories, to increase their armaments if any nation, non-signatory, should in future increase armaments. I am of the opinion that either France or Italy, or both, will certainly increase their armaments; Mussolini, as the modern Emperor of Rome, is reviving the Roman Empire, as admitted by more than one foreign Correspondent. He must continue to do so, and will therefore increase his armaments; he is drilling thousands of young men, and expects to have five million men ready for the field by 1933 or 1934.

The Bible tells of the King of the North coming down into Palestine; great Bible students have seen that as Russia, years before that country became so devilish as at present. God is permitting the people of the earth to go their own way. But He is going to bring matters to a stop -- not by an upheaval-- but by the return of the Son of Man, who will come in His Kingdom.

For years, I have read the Presbyterian; but I do not recall ever having read about the second coming of Christ as a pre-millenial event to bring peace on earth and to put a stop to present rotten news. Your readers, so far as I can see, are not schooled by you in this matter of looking for Jesus' return to sit upon the Throne of His father, David. I am very much of the opinion that the folks at your Editorial offices are what are known as post-millenialists. Your readers must have a false idea of the way in which the earth is to be put to rights. The idea that upheavals will occur until peace and quiet reign, is surely false optimism. The devil will not allow peace until he is chained; and he will not be chained, or bound, until Jesus comes and binds him for a thousand years! Never! Satan is the God of this world. He is the prince of the power of the air. Demonism today, is more powerful than ever before in history. Satan knows that his "time is short." He will therefore put forth still greater effort, as we come to the time when Jesus will Himself descend from Heaven with a shout and with the voice of the archangel.

So, with Paul, I can say that is the "blessed hope". There is no hope, whatever, aside from Jesus' return. You will see the present London Treaty a mere scrap of paper. Every nation on earth is suspicious of every other nation. I have read that prayer was barred from the London Conference; also, that prayer is barred from meetings of the League of Nations. Both are therefore -- Christless! They must therefore -- fail! Sinful man cannot bring peace! It is absolutely impossible. Man has made a trail of blood all thru the centuries, since Cain murdered Abel. The Jews are returning to their Land, preparatory to accepting the Antichrist as their false Messiah. Practically every prophecy has been fulfilled previous to Jesus' coming into the air, to gather out, to Himself, His true

Church, or ekklesia -- the "called out" ones....either fulfilled, or in process of fulfilment now. Jesus' category of events, in Matthew 24, are showing themselves during these last days, to a greater extent than ever. Never have there been so many earthquakes, in divers places, than during the past few years. These have occurred in many places that are not volcanic in any way. Famines and pestilences are on the increase. Business, governments, banks, churches, are merging, and getting more and more under one head. The wealth and industries of the U.S. are to be conscripted, at the next war. They will be under one head. The final one evil head, will be the Antichrist. Matters will be prepared for him, when he is revealed. "Merging" is the order of the day!

So, may I ask you to bring before your readers, from now on, the one blessed hope of the Church -- and of Peace, namely, the second coming of Christ to take His true Church from off the earth --- and His return to the earth itself, to take the present Christless kingdoms from the rulers; when He, himself, will be King of Kings and Lord of Lords -- not spiritually reigning merely in the hearts of the people, but taking the Throne of David, which is now vacant. Jesus is today at the right hand of God, the Father, with Him on His Throne. But He has not yet come to sit upon David's Throne, which is an earthly throne. The majority of people upon earth positively refuse to have Jesus to reign in their hearts. He will not force His way in, that is certain.

Sincerely,

Robert T. Lore
Collingswood, N. J.

March 19, 1930.

Mr. Joseph H. Landis,
18th and Derry Streets,
Harrisburg, Pa.

Dear Mr. Landis:-

Your letter of March 18th is in my hand. It is difficult to answer your question. There are several charities and homes under Presbyterian auspices in our city --the Home for Aged Women, the Orphanage, and the Home for Married Couples and Aged Men at Bala.

As to their retaining fundamentalist position I scarcely know what to say. It is very difficult to know what the future will bring forth. At present they are managed by men and women who are all right. No one can tell how soon the personnel will change. Liberalism is gaining control rapidly of most things under the Presbyterian Church.

I would suggest that you write to Mrs. J. Bird Meyer, Lodges Lane, Cynwyd, Pennsylvania, who is president of the Home for Aged Couples and Men. I know her well. She is thoroughly sound as is her husband, an elder in Northminster Church. I fear I have given you little knowledge but have done all I am able to do just now.

Yours very truly,

March 19, 1930.

Rev. A. Mackenzie Lamb,
Cheswick, Pa.

Dear Dr. Lamb:-

You wrote me sometime ago that I would probably want my own selection for Timely Topics. I have decided to take it over to my own control with the assistance of my son. This will save THE PRESBYTERIAN money and while we cannot do it as well as you perhaps I think we will try it.

We would like to have your matter for the issue of March 27th after which we will try to make it up elsewhere. I hope you understand that this is done in all good spirit. Mr. Monro told me that you did not expect to be continued very long. Your work has been excellent and I hope that this change will not disturb you in the least.

Very sincerely yours,

JOSEPH H. LANDIS

COAL, WOOD AND HAULING

OFFICE AND YARDS

EIGHTEENTH AND DERRY STREETS

BOTH PHONES

HARRISBURG, PA., Mar, 18, 30 192

The Presbyterian Publishing Co,
1217 Market St.
Phila, Pa.

Gentlemen-

Would you kindly give me information in regard to the different Charities, Missions and Homes in the Presbytertan Church to which a Fundamentalist could contribute by Will and still have the assurance that Legacy would be applied in those channels.

Thanking you very much in advance, Very truly yours.

Jo H. Landis,

3406 Hamilton St.

Dear Dr. Robinson,

I am later than I intended to be in congratulating you upon the honor conferred upon you in this beloved City of ours.

Now I'm wondering if that means that we are to have you and Mrs. Robinson among us, or whether it would be possible for you to perform your duties, as Editor of the Presbyterian, in Delhi.

Whatever betides we are all delighted and proud that you should be selected for the post that no doubt many another coveted.

With love for both

Yours Sincerely

Ella M. Zist

February twenty-sixth

A RELIGIOUS WEEKLY FOR THE FAMILY

The PRESBYTERIAN

IT HAS A NATION-WIDE CIRCULATION

EDITOR, SAMUEL G. CRAIG
Associate Editors: David S. Kennedy, F. C. Monfort,; Assistant Editors: E. P. Whallon, A. Mackenzie Lamb, Clara A. Alexander; Editorial Advisory Board: William L. McEwan, Maitland Alexander Walter D. Buchanan, Stuart Nye Hutchison Clarence E. Macartney, J. Gresham Machen, Mark A. Matthews

TWELVE-SEVENTEEN MARKET ST. PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA

Dear Friend:

You have been receiving THE PRESBYTERIAN each week for the past seven months, made possible through the kindness of a friend. We trust you have found interest and pleasure in its visits.

The time to which the subscription was paid has expired and we are writing to ask if you desire to become a regular reader. We are enclosing a statement for one year in advance.

As many of our readers do not wish a break in the receipt of their paper, it is our custom to continue the subscription until we receive a definite order otherwise. Will you kindly, therefore, advise us at an early date your wishes in the matter? We sincerely hope to receive a favorable reply, as it would give us pleasure to have you continue one of our readers.

Yours very truly,

THE PRESBYTERIAN

March 10, 1930.

Rev. A. Mackenzie Lamb, D.D.,
Cheswick, Pa.

Dear Dr. Lamb:-

Enclosed please find a letter from Mr. Trumbull. He wrote me a previous letter which I have asked him to send to you. If you will kindly answer him I will be grateful.

Yours most sincerely,

March 6, 1930.

Rev. A. Mackenzie Lamb, D.D.,
Cheswick, Pa.

Dear Dr. Lamb:-

I have your "T T" and a letter dated March 5th. I am not yet familiar enough to know just what we will do in the future but I am well aware that you have handled "Timely Topics" with rare ability and for the present I hope you may continue to send them as heretofore. We will all know more when the Board meets and we discuss the whole situation.

Westminster Seminary men are taking strong stand against me which I regret very much for my sympathy is greatly with them. It may cause a loss of subscribers but the feeling abides in me that in time others will take their place to fill out the list and I hope greatly lengthen it. We will, therefore, count on your "Timely Topics" for the present at any rate.

Cordially yours,